

---

**On Cantor-Bernstein type theorems in Riesz spaces**

by Marek Wójtowicz

*Institute of Mathematics, Pedagogical University, 65-069 Zielona Góra,  
Pl. Ślowiński 25, Poland*

---

Communicated by Prof. A.C. Zaanen at the meeting of September 28, 1987**ABSTRACT**

We generalize the main result of [21] to Riesz spaces. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Riesz spaces with  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebras of projection bands. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are each Riesz isomorphic to a projection band of the other space then the spaces are Riesz isomorphic. As an application of the above theorem we give an example of non-Riesz isomorphic Banach lattices such that: (1) their order (=topological) duals are Riesz isomorphic and (2) each of them is Riesz isomorphic to a projection band of the other one.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

The following problem is fundamental in the theory of isomorphisms of topological vector spaces:

$(P_1)$  *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be tv spaces,  $f$  and  $g$  isomorphisms mapping  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $Y$  into  $X$ , respectively. Is  $X$  isomorphic to  $Y$ ?*

L. Drewnowski has recently proved in [4] that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are nonseparable Banach spaces with symmetric uncountable Schauder bases then  $(P_1)$  has a positive answer. The answer is negative in general – even if we assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces and  $f, g$  are isometries. A suitable example was given by S. Banach and S. Mazur [2]:  $X = C(0, 1)$  and  $Y = C(0, 1) \times I_1$  (see also [3], [12]). A. Pełczyński proved in 1960 that if  $f(X)$  and  $g(Y)$  are complemented subspaces of  $Y$  and  $X$ , respectively, and  $X, Y$  are isomorphic to their Cartesian squares, then the answer to question  $(P_1)$  is ‘yes’ (the so called Pełczyński’s decomposition method; see also [8]). The condition  $X \approx X \times X$  holds in classical

Banach spaces but it does not hold in general (see [3], [5]). Therefore the following problem is still open:

(P<sub>2</sub>) *Let Banach (or F-)spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  be each isomorphic to a complemented subspace of the other space. Is  $X$  isomorphic to  $Y$ ?*

In this paper we investigate analogous problems in Riesz spaces:

(P<sub>3</sub>)[(P<sub>4</sub>)] *Let Riesz spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  be each Riesz isomorphic to an order ideal [a projection band] of the other space. Is  $X$  Riesz isomorphic to  $Y$ ?*

We show that if  $X$  and  $Y$  have  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebras of projection bands then (P<sub>4</sub>) has a positive answer (Theorem 3.4). Moreover, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach lattices and the ideals in the assumption of (P<sub>3</sub>) are norm closed then  $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  are Riesz isomorphic (Corollary 4.2), while  $X$  and  $Y$  may be not Riesz isomorphic (Example 4.3); similar theorems for  $L^1$ -preduals were also considered in [9], p. 229.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For terminology and notations concerning the general theory of Riesz spaces not explained below we refer to [1], [10] and [15]. In the present paper all Riesz spaces are assumed to be Archimedean. Let  $X$  be a Riesz space. For a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $X$  we define the disjoint complement  $A^d$  of  $A$  as  $A^d = \{x \in X : |x| \wedge |y| = 0 \text{ for all } y \in A\}$ .  $A^d$  is a lattice ideal and  $A^d \cap A^{dd} = 0$ . An ideal  $B \subset X$  is said to be a [projection] band if  $B = B^{dd}$  [and  $X = B + B^{dd}$ ] or, equivalently, if it follows from  $A \subset B$  and  $x = \sup A$  that  $x \in B$ . The set  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  of all bands in  $X$ , partially ordered by inclusion, is a complete Boolean algebra with operations  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$  and a complementation  $^c$  defined as follows:  $B_1 \vee B_2 = (B_1 + B_2)^{dd}$ ,  $B_1 \wedge B_2 = B_1 \cap B_2$  and  $B^c = B^d$ . The set  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  of all projection bands in  $X$  is a Boolean subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  with  $\wedge$ ,  $^c$  as in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $B_1 \vee B_2 = B_1 + B_2$ . A lattice ideal  $A \subset X$  is called order dense in  $X$  if  $A^{dd} = X$ . For every projection band  $B$  in  $X$  there exists a natural projection  $P_B$  from  $X$  onto  $B$  (the so called band projection). A projection  $P$  is a band projection iff  $0 \leq Px \leq x$  holds for every  $x \geq 0$ . The set  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of all band projections in  $X$  is a Boolean algebra with operations  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$  and  $^c$  defined as follows:  $P_1 \vee P_2 = P_1 + P_2 - P_1 P_2$ ,  $P_1 \wedge P_2 = P_1 P_2$  and  $P^c = I - P$ . The mapping  $B \rightarrow P_B$  is a Boolean isomorphism from  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  onto  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ ; in particular  $P_B^c = P_B^d$ . Every band projection is an order continuous (a normal) Riesz homomorphism (i.e.  $P(\sup_{t \in T} x_t) = \sup_{t \in T} P(x_t)$  holds for every index set  $T$ ). Every positive operator (and hence every Riesz homomorphism) between  $F$ -lattices is norm continuous ([1], Th. 16.6, p. 111). We write  $X \cong Y$  if  $X$  and  $Y$  are Riesz isomorphic.  $X^*$  denotes an order dual of  $X$ ; if  $X$  is a normed space, then  $X'$  denotes the topological dual of  $X$ .  $X^*$  is Dedekind complete and if  $X$  is normed lattice, then  $X'$  is an ideal of  $X^*$  (and hence also Dedekind complete); if  $X$  is a Banach lattice, then  $X' = X^*$  ([15], Prop. 5.5, p. 85). For a nonempty subset  $A$  of a Banach space  $X$  the symbol  $A^\perp$  denotes the annihilator of  $A$  (in  $X'$ ).

A Riesz space  $X$  is said to have the  $\sigma$ -property if  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is  $\sigma$ -complete. If  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete or has the projection property then  $X$  has the  $\sigma$ -property ([10], Th. 30.6, p. 177). In particular all order duals of Riesz spaces, all topological duals of normed lattices and all  $L^p$ -spaces ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) have the  $\sigma$ -property.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Boolean algebra with operations  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$  and  $^c$ . For a fixed  $a_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  the symbol  $a_0 \wedge \mathcal{B}$  denotes the set  $\{a_0 \wedge b : b \in \mathcal{B}\}$  (a principal ideal of  $\mathcal{B}$ ).  $a_0 \wedge \mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra with operations  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$  restricted from  $\mathcal{B}$  and a complementation  $'$  defined by the formula  $a' = a_0 \wedge a^c$ . The following fundamental theorem is due to R. Sikorski ([17], Th. 1 and Th. 2).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebras,  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $b_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  and let  $F, G$  be boolean isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $b_0 \wedge \mathcal{B}$  and of  $\mathcal{B}$  onto  $a_0 \wedge \mathcal{A}$ , respectively. Then there exist elements  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  such that*

$$F(a) = b \quad \text{and} \quad G(b^c) = a^c.$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

The following lemma will be useful.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Riesz spaces. If  $f$  is a Riesz isomorphism from  $X$  onto a band  $C_0$  in  $Y$ , then the mapping  $F: \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(Y)$  defined by the formula  $F(B) = f(B)$  is a Boolean isomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  onto  $C_0 \wedge \mathcal{A}(Y)$ . If moreover  $C_0$  is a projection band, then  $F$  restricted to  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is onto  $C_0 \wedge \mathcal{P}(Y)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let for any nonempty subset  $A$  of  $C_0$  the symbol  $A^D$  denotes the disjoint complement of  $A$  in  $C_0$ . It is easy to see that the mapping  $F_1: B \rightarrow f(B)$  is a Boolean isomorphism between  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(C_0)$ , thus

- (i)  $F_1(B_1 \wedge B_2) = F_1(B_1) \wedge F_1(B_2)$ , i.e.  $f(B_1 \cap B_2) = f(B_1) \cap f(B_2)$ ;
- (ii)  $F_1(B_1 \vee B_2) = F_1(B_1) \vee F_1(B_2)$ , i.e.  $f(B_1 + B_2)^{dd} = (f(B_1) + f(B_2))^{DD}$ ;
- (iii)  $F_1(B^d) = F_1(B)^D$ , i.e.  $f(B^d) = f(B)^D$ .

We will show that  $\mathcal{A}(C_0) = C_0 \wedge \mathcal{A}(Y)$ . The inclusion  $C_0 \wedge \mathcal{A}(Y) \subset \mathcal{A}(C_0)$  holds since the intersection of any band in  $Y$  with the ideal  $C_0$  in  $Y$  is a band in  $C_0$ . Any band in  $C_0$  is a band in  $Y$  (by (2) below, for example), thus  $\mathcal{A}(C_0) = \{B \cap C_0 : B \in \mathcal{A}(C_0)\} \subset \{B \cap C_0 : B \in \mathcal{A}(Y)\} = C_0 \wedge \mathcal{A}(Y)$ . Now let  $I$  be the identity mapping from  $\mathcal{A}(C_0)$  onto  $C_0 \wedge \mathcal{A}(Y)$ . From the definition of a disjoint complement we have

$$(1) \quad A^D = C_0 \cap A^d \text{ for any nonempty subset } A \text{ of } C_0,$$

and by (1) and the distribution of  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  we get:  $A^{DD} = (A^D)^d \cap C_0 = (A^d \wedge C_0)^d \cap C_0 = (A^{dd} \vee C_0^d) \wedge C_0 = A^{dd}$  (since  $A \subset C_0$  implies  $A^{dd} \subset C_0$ ), so

$$(2) \quad A^{DD} = A^{dd} \text{ for any nonempty subset } A \text{ of } C_0.$$

From (1) and (2) we get  $I(B_1 \wedge B_2) = I(B_1) \wedge I(B_2)$ ,  $I(B_1 \vee B_2) = (B_1 + B_2)^{DD} = (B_1 + B_2)^{dd} = I(B_1) \vee I(B_2)$  and  $I(B^D) = C_0 \wedge B^d$ . Hence,  $I$  is a Boolean

isomorphism and the mapping  $F = IF_1$  is a Boolean isomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  onto  $C_0 \wedge \mathcal{A}(Y)$ .

To prove the second part of the lemma, observe that the mapping  $P \rightarrow fPf^{-1}$  is a Boolean isomorphism between  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(C_0)$ ; therefore  $F_1$  restricted to  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is a Boolean isomorphism onto  $\mathcal{P}(C_0)$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{P}(C_0) = C_0 \wedge \mathcal{P}(Y)$ , i.e.  $I$  restricted to  $\mathcal{P}(C_0)$  is onto  $C_0 \wedge \mathcal{P}(Y)$ . Since the restriction of any band projection in  $Y$  to the ideal  $C_0$  is a band projection, then by using the natural isomorphism between  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  we have  $C_0 \wedge \mathcal{P}(Y) = \{C_0 \cap B : B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)\} \subset \mathcal{P}(C_0)$ . Now let  $P_0$  be such a band projection in  $Y$  that  $C_0 = P_0 Y$ . It is easy to see that for every  $P \in \mathcal{B}(C_0)$  the mapping  $PP_0$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ , hence  $PC_0 = PP_0 Y$  is a projection band in  $Y$ . This implies  $\mathcal{P}(C_0) \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$  and we get  $\mathcal{P}(C_0) \subset C_0 \wedge \mathcal{P}(Y)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Riesz spaces and  $A_0, B_0$  bands in  $X, Y$  respectively. If  $f: X \rightarrow B_0$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow A_0$  are Riesz isomorphisms then there exist bands  $A$  and  $B$  in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, such that the (order dense) ideals  $I_A = A + A^d$  and  $I_B = B + B^d$  are Riesz isomorphic. More precisely, the mapping  $h$  defined on  $I_A$  by the formula*

$$(3) \quad h|_{A^d} = f \quad \text{and} \quad h|_{A^d} = g^{-1}$$

*is the required Riesz isomorphism.*

**PROOF.** Let us define mappings  $F$  and  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ , respectively, as in Lemma 3.1. Since  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(Y)$  are complete, Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.1 imply that there exist elements  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$  such that

$$(4) \quad f(A) = B \quad \text{and} \quad g^{-1}(A^d) = B^d.$$

It is easy to see that  $A$  and  $B$  are projection bands in  $I_A$  and  $I_B$ , respectively. Let  $Q$  denotes the corresponding to  $A$  band projection in  $I_A$  and observe that  $Q^c(I_A) = A^d$ . Put

$$(5) \quad h = fQ + g^{-1}Q^c;$$

then  $h$  is a Riesz isomorphism from  $I_A$  into  $I_B$ . Moreover, by (3) we have  $I_B = B + B^d = f(A) + g^{-1}(A^d) = (fQ)(I_A) + (g^{-1}Q^c)(I_A) = h(I_A)$ , thus  $h$  is onto. Clearly (3) and (5) define the same Riesz isomorphism  $h$ .

**REMARK 3.3.** (i) In the above Proposition  $X$  and  $Y$  possess order ideals which are Riesz isomorphic. It will be proved that this isomorphism, in general, cannot be extended to a Riesz isomorphism from  $X$  onto  $Y$  (Example 4.3). Moreover, (ii) if  $X$  and  $Y$  have the projection property then they are Riesz isomorphic. The general case is considered in the below theorem.

**THEOREM 3.4.** ([22], Th. 3.2) *Let the Riesz spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  have the  $\sigma$ -property. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are each Riesz isomorphic to a projection band of the other space then they are Riesz isomorphic.*

PROOF. Let  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  be projection bands in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, and  $f, g$  Riesz isomorphisms such that  $f: X \rightarrow B_0$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow A_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  are  $\sigma$ -complete, by the second part of Lemma 3.1 and an argument similar to that of 3.2 there exist projection bands  $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$  for which (4) holds. Denote by  $P_A$  the band projection corresponding to  $A$  in  $X$ . It is easy to see that the mapping

$$(6) \quad h = fP_A + g^{-1}P_A^c$$

is the required Riesz isomorphism from  $X$  onto  $Y$ .

REMARK 3.5. (i) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $F$ -lattices then all Riesz isomorphisms between them and all band projections in  $X$  and  $Y$  are norm continuous. In this case we get a lattice-topological version of  $(P_3)$ . (ii) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are abstract  $M$  (or  $L^p$ )-spaces and the Riesz isomorphisms  $f$  and  $g$  are isometries, then  $h$ , defined both by (5) and (6), is an isometry as well.

#### 4. COROLLARIES

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let the Riesz spaces  $X, Y$  have the projection property and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  be Riesz homomorphisms. If  $f$  and  $g$  are order continuous (or, equivalently,  $\ker f$  and  $\ker g$  are (projection) bands) then  $X$  and  $Y$  are Riesz isomorphic.*

PROOF.  $X$  and  $Y$  are Riesz isomorphic to the projection bands  $(\ker g)^d$  and  $(\ker f)^d$ , respectively. By Theorem 3.4  $X$  and  $Y$  are Riesz isomorphic.

A Banach lattice  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is said to have an order continuous norm if for every downwards directed set  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $X$  with  $\inf_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha = 0$   $\lim_{\alpha} \|x_\alpha\| = 0$ . Each such a Banach lattice is Dedekind complete and each norm closed ideal of  $X$  is a projection band ([15], Th. 5.10, p. 89 and Th. 5.14, p. 94).

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach lattices. If*

- (a) *each of these spaces is Riesz isomorphic to a norm closed ideal of the other space, or*
- (b)  *$f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  are Riesz homomorphisms, then  $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  are Riesz isomorphic. If moreover  $X$  and  $Y$  have order continuous norms, then  $X$  and  $Y$  are Riesz isomorphic too.*

PROOF. The second part of the Corollary is a simple consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 4.1 ( $\ker f$  and  $\ker g$  are norm closed ideals in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively).

(a) Let  $f$  be a Riesz isomorphism from  $X$  onto a norm closed ideal of  $Y$ . The adjoint mapping  $f^*: Y^* \rightarrow X^*$  is a Riesz homomorphism (see the remark after Prop. 5.6, p. 86 in [15]) and  $\ker f^*$  is a projection band of  $Y^*$  ([15], Corollary 1, p. 86). Analogously, if  $g$  is a Riesz isomorphism from  $Y$  onto a norm closed ideal of  $X$  then  $\ker g^*$  is a projection band of  $X^*$ . By Corollary 4.1  $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  are Riesz isomorphic.

(b) By ([15], Corollary 1, p. 86) we have  $(X/\ker f)^* \cong (\ker f)^\perp$  is a projection band of  $X^*$  and since  $Y \cong X/\ker f$ , we get  $Y^* \cong (\ker f)^\perp$ . Analogously  $X^*$  is Riesz isomorphic to a projection band in  $Y^*$  and by Theorem 3.4  $X^* \cong Y^*$ .

In [7] K. Kuratowski gave an example of uncountable and compact subsets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that

1°.  $\Omega_1$  is homeomorphic to a closed-open subset  $A_0$  of  $\Omega_2$  and  $\Omega_2$  is homeomorphic to a closed-open subset  $B_0$  of  $\Omega_1$ , but  $\Omega_1$  is not homeomorphic to  $\Omega_2$ ;

2°. The Boolean algebras  $CO(\Omega_i)$  of closed-open subsets of  $\Omega_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , are not  $\sigma$ -complete.

Making use of this example we will show that the assumption concerning the  $\sigma$ -property in Theorem 3.4 is essential (even if  $f$  and  $g$  are isometries).

EXAMPLE 4.3. *There exist Banach lattices  $X$  and  $Y$  without the  $\sigma$ -property such that*

1.  *$X$  and  $Y$  are each Riesz isometric to a projection band of the other space, but  $X \not\cong Y$ ;*
2.  *$X$  and  $Y$  possess order dense ideals which are Riesz isometric;*
3.  *$X^* \cong Y^*$ .*

PROOF. Each band projection in the Riesz space  $C(\Omega)$  (of all real-valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ ) is of the form  $P_A x = x \cdot \chi_A$ , where  $x \in C(\Omega)$  and  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of some closed-open subset  $A \subset \Omega$  ([15], Ex. 5, p. 63), thus  $\mathcal{P}(C(\Omega))$  is Boolean isomorphic to  $CO(\Omega)$ . Let us put  $X = C(\Omega_1)$  and  $Y = C(\Omega_2)$ , where  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are Kuratowski's sets. By 2°. neither  $X$  nor  $Y$  have the  $\sigma$ -property. Now let  $f$  be the homeomorphism from  $\Omega_1$  onto the closed-open subset  $A_0$  of  $\Omega_2$  described in 1°. The mapping  $\tilde{f}: C(A_0) \rightarrow X$  of the form  $\tilde{f}(x) = x \circ f$  is a Riesz isometry and the restriction  $G(y) = y|_{A_0}$  is a Riesz isometry of  $P_{A_0}(Y)$  onto  $C(A_0)$ , hence the mapping  $F = (\tilde{f} \circ G)^{-1}$  is a Riesz isometry of  $X$  onto the projection band  $P_{A_0}(Y)$ . Analogously,  $Y$  is Riesz isometric to a projection band of  $X$ . The second part of 1. is a consequence of Corollary 1, p. 104 in [15], which states that if  $C(\Omega_1) \cong C(\Omega_2)$  then  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are homeomorphic.

Clearly Remark 3.5 (ii) and Corollary 4.2 (a) imply 2. and 3. respectively.

REMARK 4.4. (i) Notice that although  $C(\Omega_1)$  and  $C(\Omega_2)$  are not Riesz isomorphic, but by Milutin's Theorem ([16], Th. 12.5.10, p. 379) they are linearly homeomorphic (to  $C(0, 1)$ ) because  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are uncountable, compact and metric spaces. Other examples with the same properties as in 1°. and 2°. above can be found in [6], [18] and [19].

(ii) In 1971 K. Sundaresan ([18], see also [19], pp. 165–168) gave an example of a nonmetrizable, compact and Hausdorff space  $S$  such that  $S \oplus p$  is not homeomorphic to  $S$  but  $S \oplus p \oplus q$  is homeomorphic to  $S$  for each pair of distinct points  $p, q \notin S$ . Putting  $X = C(S)$  and  $Y = C(S \oplus p)$  we get a curious

example of non-Riesz isomorphic Banach lattices such that  $X \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong Y$ ,  $Y \oplus \mathbb{R} \cong X$  and  $X \oplus \mathbb{R} \not\cong X$  but  $X \oplus \mathbb{R}^2 \cong X$ . In view of (i) the following problem arises:

PROBLEM 4.5. *Is  $C(S)$  linearly homomorphic to  $C(S \oplus p)$ ?*

Now we will applicate Theorem 3.4 to some sequence spaces. If  $(x_i)$  is an unconditional Schauder basis in a real Banach space  $X$ , then  $X$  is a Dedekind complete Riesz space with an ordering  $x \leq y$  iff  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \ x_i^*(x) \leq x_i^*(y)$ . Moreover, each projection band in  $X$  is of the form  $B = -\text{in} \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is some subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  depending on  $B$  [1]. If  $Y$  is an another real Banach space with an unconditional basis  $(y_i)$  then the bases  $(x_i)$  and  $(y_i)$  are said to be [permutatively] equivalent if [there exists a permutation  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that]  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i$  converges iff  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i y_i$  [ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i y_{\tau(i)}$ ] converges for any real sequence  $(\alpha_i)$ . The [permutative] equivalence of  $(x_i)$  and  $(y_i)$  defines a Riesz isomorphism from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . The basic sequence  $(x_{i_k})$  is called a subbasis of the basis  $(x_i)$ . Applying Theorem 3.4 (with (6)) we get the following result.

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let  $X, Y$  be real Banach spaces with unconditional Schauder bases  $(x_i), (y_i)$  respectively. If  $(x_i)$  and  $(y_i)$  are each (permutatively) equivalent to a subbasis of the other basis then they are permutatively equivalent. In particular  $X$  and  $Y$  are linearly homeomorphic.*

REMARK 4.7. The above Corollary holds also for unconditional bases in  $F$ -spaces [21], but unfortunately this more general case cannot be obtained from Theorem 3.4 (such an  $F$ -space with the coordinatewise ordering is not a Riesz space – see [13], Th. 3.8.3, p. 155 and [14]).

An Orlicz function is a non-decreasing continuous function  $f: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  such that  $f(0)=0$  and  $f \neq 0$ . Let  $F=(f_n)$  be a sequence of Orlicz functions. For each  $x=(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  we define  $m_F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i f_n(|x_n|)$ , the linear space  $l_F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : m_F(tx) < \infty \text{ for some } t > 0\}$  and the  $F$ -norm on  $l_F$  as  $\|x\|_F = \inf\{t > 0 : m_F(x/t) \leq t\}$ . Then  $(l_F, \|\cdot\|_F)$  is called a modular sequence space. For the general theory of modular sequence spaces we refer the reader to [8] and [20].  $l_F$  is a Dedekind complete  $F$ -lattice with pointwise ordering. Each projection band in  $l_F$  is of the form  $B = \{x \in l_F : x|_{\mathbb{N}_0} \equiv 0\}$  and is Riesz isomorphic to  $l_{(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is some subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  depending on  $B$ . The sequences of Orlicz functions  $F=(f_n)$  and  $G=(g_n)$  are said to be [permutatively] equivalent if  $l_F = l_G$  [ $l_F = l_{(g_{\tau(n)})}$ ] for some permutation  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . The [permutative] equivalence of  $F$  and  $G$  defines a Riesz (and hence a topological) isomorphism from  $l_F$  onto  $l_G$ . Applying Theorem 3.4 we get

COROLLARY 4.8. *Let  $F=(f_n)$  and  $G=(g_n)$  be sequences of Orlicz functions. If  $F$  and  $G$  are each (permutatively) equivalent to a subsequence of the other sequence then they are permutatively equivalent. In particular  $l_F$  and  $l_G$  are linearly homeomorphic.*

## REFERENCES

1. Aliprantis, C. and O. Burkinshaw – Locally Solid Riesz Spaces, Academic Press (1978).
2. Banach, S. and S. Mazur – Zur Theorie der linearen Dimensionen, *Studia Math.* **4**, 100–112 (1933).
3. Bessaga, Cz. and A. Pełczyński – Banach spaces non-isomorphic to their Cartesian squares, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **8**, 77–80 (1960).
4. Drewnowski, L. – On symmetric bases in nonseparable Banach spaces, to appear.
5. Figiel, T. – An example of an infinite dimensional reflexive Banach space non-isomorphic to its Cartesian square, *Studia Math.* **42**, 295–306 (1977).
6. Kinoshita, S. – A solution of a problem of R. Sikorski, *Fund. Math.* **40**, 37–41 (1953).
7. Kuratowski, K. – On a topological problem connected with the Cantor-Bernstein problem, *Fund. Math.* **37**, 213–216 (1950).
8. Lindenstrauss, J. and L. Tzafriri – Classical Banach Spaces I, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1977).
9. Lacey, H.E. – The Isometric Theory of Classical Banach Spaces, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1974).
10. Luxemburg, W.A.J. and A.C. Zaanen – Riesz Spaces I, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam (1971).
11. Pełczyński, A. – Projections in certain Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **19**, 209–228 (1960).
12. Pełczyński, A. – Universal bases, *Studia Math.* **31**, 247–268 (1969).
13. Rolewicz, S. – Metric Linear Spaces, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa (1984).
14. Rolewicz, S. and Cz. Ryll-Nardzewski – On unconditional convergence in linear metric spaces, *Coll. Math.* **17**, 327–331 (1967).
15. Schaefer, H. – Banach Lattices and Positive Operators, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1974).
16. Semadeni, Z. – Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa (1971).
17. Sikorski, R. – On a generalization of theorems of S. Banach and Cantor-Bernstein, *Coll. Math.* **1**, 140–144 (1948).
18. Sundaresan, K. – Spaces of continuous functions into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , Research Report, Department of Mathematics, Carnegie-Mellon University (1971).
19. Walker, R.C. – The Stone-Čech Compactification, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1974).
20. Woo, I.Y.T. – On modular sequence spaces, *Studia Math.* **48**, 271–289 (1973).
21. Wojtowitz, M. – On the permutative equivalence of unconditional bases in  $F$ -spaces, to appear.
22. Wójtowicz, M. – On some methods of constructing Riesz isomorphisms between Riesz spaces, Research communication, International Conference on Function Spaces, Poznań, August 25–29, 1986, Poland (1986).